

## HATE GERMAN FILMS Just Who Are The Hatemongers?

Every week a number of hate German films are shown on TV. The average citizen is puzzled as to why these films are being forced into our homes seventeen years after the war in which 70% of buildings in German cities were destroyed and millions bombed to death, crippled and made homeless. The German people are now on the front line in the effort by the non-communist countries to hold the communists behind their lines and prevent them from drenching Europe in blood.

The Soviet Union has been tenacious in their efforts to bluff the U.S. into relaxing their efforts in backing West Germany in resisting takeover by the communists.

Here at home, we have groups working to condition the public, so that our State Department feels safe in betraying West Germany into communist slavery, just as the same State Department did with China. It is apparent to those of us who keep informed that there is a group surrounding President Kennedy who wants to maneuver Germans under communism, but do it in a way the public will think unavoidable.

The organized Jewish groups are active; the American public must be brainwashed to where it is believed the Germans are not worth saving.

The Jewish-owned movie industry and TV are busy. Jewish writers are busy writing clever plays in order to lower the Germans in the eyes of America. Plays such as "NUREMBERG TRIAL" are being turned out. Fiction books from pocket size 50¢ to \$10.00 hard-cover books are filled with false propaganda paying the writer a big profit and misinforming the reader.

The three TV networks are Jewish-owned and are turning out hate German films every week. NOT HATE COMMUNISM, but HATE GERMAN. NBC network Robert Sarnoff ☆ (Chairman), CBS Wm. S. Paley (Palinsky ☆, Chairman), ABC Leonard Goldenson ☆ (Chairman). These "so-called" Jews control the network and Newton N. Minow ☆, Federal Communications Commissioner, controls the air.

Anyone who can think at all knows that should the rest of Germany go communist all Europe would fall to the Reds immediately and the remaining free countries will rush to surrender to Godless, gangster communists to prevent slaughter.

What can you do to stop the Jewish TV from slipping these hate films into our living rooms? Boycott the hate movies like they were the yellow fever. Make careful note of name of the sponsors of these TV pictures and look up their address and write them that you will not buy their product and you will advertise this to your friends if they ever sponsor another one.

This is their soft spot and they will surrender.

Here is one to start on: Proctor and Gamble, Cincinnati, Ohio, sponsored "CONFESSIONS OF A NAZI SPY" Monday, March 5, Columbia Broadcasting Co. They make soap and tooth paste.

### SENATOR CLARK ON HATE FILMS

Of Hollywood, Senator B. C. Clark asserted on the 10th day of September 1941 (3 months before "planned" Pearl Harbor), that half a dozen men controlling the film industry were bent on inflaming the American people to clamor for war.

The London Daily Express reported, 11th September, 1941:

"Appearing before a Senate Committee investigating propaganda in films, he (Senator Clark) said the industry was turning out dozens of pictures to infect the minds of their audiences with hatred to arouse their emotions. America's 17,000 cinemas virtually constitute

daily and nightly mass meetings for war." (with 82 million weekly attending).

See PEARL HARBOR TREASON (Dec. 1958 American Mercury, p. 113)

## AN OPEN LETTER TO—

WILLIAM BUCKLEY - RICHARD NIXON  
SENATOR GOLDWATER - WALTER JUDD  
AND SO-CALLED "CONSERVATIVES"

5 March, 1962

Gentlemen:

Why should you be so concerned over Mr. Robert Welch as leader of The John Birch Society? You are not members. As I see it, it is no concern of yours. I am sure that the members of The John Birch Society are very pleased with Mr. Welch's leadership. And it seems to me that is the only important thing.

I have never heard that the members of The John Birch Society have told the Republicans to get rid of Eisenhower and Rockefeller because they are hurting the G. O. P. Although, I am sure some of them would like to do so.

The John Birch Society seems to be attending to its own business and I am confident it will continue to do so whether you like it or not. And, they probably would appreciate it if you did likewise.

You all admit there are some very fine people in The John Birch Society. That is big of you. I agree with you. And, I am persuaded that their judgment is equally as sound as yours.

Sincerely,

Annalee Stratemeyer  
Box 424,  
Winter Park, Florida

## — LITTLE BOY BLUE —

March, 13, 1962

Mr. William F. Buckley, Jr., Editor  
National Review  
130 E. 35th Street,  
New York, New York

Dear Sir:

So, Little Boy Blue could no longer restrain his ego-manical impulses. Disregarding seasoned advice he simply had to castigate Robert Welch and those members of The John Birch Society who refuse to suit him.

The conspiratorial aspect of the communist threat is low-rated by Little Boy Blue. But it was not thus treated by Senator Joe McCarthy. Nor by the Jenner Committee in 1953, who said:

"The Soviet international organization has carried on a successful and important penetration of the United States government and this penetration has not been fully exposed. This penetration has extended from the lower ranks to top-level policy and operating positions in our government.

..... Policies and programs laid down by members of this Soviet conspiracy are still in effect within our government and constitute a continuing hazard in our national security."

Nor by Attorney-General Kennedy who told us last September:

"Communist espionage here in this Country, is more active now than it has ever been."

Nor by F.B.I. Director J. Edgar Hoover, in these words:

"To dismiss lightly the existence of the subversive threat in the United States is to deliberately commit national suicide. In some quarters we are surely doing that."

Nevertheless Little Boy Blue tries to split the conservatives with the divide and conquer strategy of the communists.

To say that such insolence and stupidity is displeasing to an old coot who bare-knuckled the communist-infested National Labor Relations Board to the floor when Little Boy Blue was romping in his nursery is perhaps an understatement.

However, this message closes with a cost-saving suggestion. Remove my name from your hand-out list. Then when you next start out with your little tin cup begging for contributions, save yourself some postage. Don't even try to extract another thousand bucks from me, as I have no appetite for irresponsible, juvenile egotism.

Sincerely yours,  
A. G. Heinsohn, Jr.  
Sevierville, Tenn.

## Our State Department

—o— Continued from page 1 —o—

OUR STATE DEPARTMENT is still active in forcing communism on other countries as evidenced by the following editorial by the largest paper in America: NEW YORK DAILY NEWS

March 27, 1962:

"CAN WE HAVE IT BOTH WAYS?"

In Laos, the United States is pressuring Premier Boun Oum to let communists into important positions in his government.

In South Viet Nam, next door to Laos, we're helping Premier Ngo Dinh Diem fight the North Viet Nam Reds, with nothing said about Diem letting Reds help him run his government.

It hardly seems surprising that more than a few Southeast Asians wonder what U. S. foreign policy is, or whether the U. S. has any foreign policy at all."

After the Fort Monmouth Red exposure, Senator Joe McCarthy said he was heading for the STATE DEPARTMENT, whose well-known Red Infiltration was masterminded by the communists, and the DEFENSE DEPARTMENT, which had been liberally saturated with Reds, by the former Assistant Secretary of Defense, Anna M. Rosenberg. So THE INVISIBLE GOVERNMENT ruled that McCarthy had to go.

(Is there no one left to take up where Senator Joe McCarthy left off?)

And yet some uninformed writers would have you believe there is no threat from the inside of the United States.

## FDR and FUTURE of EUROPE

—o— Continued from page 2 —o—

the idea of supporting anti-Communist elements in these countries against Communist absorption.

Magnifying Russia's industrial achievements, minimizing Anglo-American power, Mr. Roosevelt feared that "the European people will simply have to endure Russian domination in the hope that in 10 or 20 years they will be able to live well with the Russians." Given this cheerful acquiescence, how could Stalin have resisted the desire to move west?

## Common Sense\*

Union, New Jersey, U.S.A.

Conde McGinley, Editor

One year's subscription, \$1; Three years for \$2.50. First Class (Sealed) — \$3.00 per year. Foreign, 10 months, \$1.00

In BULK, same issue or assorted

25 Copies — \$1.00

100 Copies — 3.00

500 Copies — 10.00

## Common Sense\*

Published twice monthly except July and August, once each, by Christian Educational Assn.

530 Chestnut Street, Union, N. J.

\*Trade Mark Registered U.S. Patent Office